

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

A	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,763		08/25/2003		Jeffrey Alan Silvernail	UDC-27001	4054	
	27774	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER		
	MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC				TRAN, TAN N		
	251 NORTH	I AVENU	E WEST				
	2ND FLOO	R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WESTFIELD, NJ 07090				2826		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Author Commence	10/648,763	SILVERNAIL, JEFFREY ALAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TAN N. TRAN	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on resp	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>response filed on 04/18/05</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6,8,13,14,16 and 22-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,9-12,15,17-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers .						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/648,763

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3,5,7,9-12,15,17-19,21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (2003/0197197).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With regard to claims 1-3,5,7,9-12,19,21, Brown et al. discloses top-emitting flexible OLED device structure comprising: a first portion comprising a substrate 110 and an organic electronic device region (OLED) 116 disposed over the substrate 110; a second portion comprising a cover 120 and a single getter region 118 wherein the single getter region is provided on a surface of the cover 120; and an ultraviolet-radiation-curing pressure-sensitive adhesive layer 130 disposed between the first and second portions and adhering the first and

second portions to one another wherein the adhesive layer 130 displays low out-gassing of harmful species and being disposed over the entire OLED 116 and at least a portion of the substrate 110. An organometallic compound layer 126 formed between the OLED 116 and the adhesive layer 130. (Note lines 1-6, paragraph 0015, page 1, figs. 3,4 of Brown et al.).

With regard to claims 15,17, Brown et al. disclose the substrate 110 and cover 120 are a composite substrate and cover having a polymer substrate sub-layer and at least two alternating pairs of high density sub-layers and planarizing sub-layers, which high-density sub-layers may be the same or different from each other and which planarizing sub-layers may be the same or different from each other. (Note lines 6-10, paragraph 0017, page 2, fig. 6 of Brown et al.).

With regard to claim 18, Brown et al. disclose the getter region 118 is attached to the cover 120 via an adhesive region 130. (Note fig. 4 of Brown et al.).

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 20 is allowable over the prior art of record, because none of these references disclose or can be combined to yield the claimed invention such as the OLED device structure is at most 0.4 mm in thickness.

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims 22-31 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 04/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued, at page 7 of the remarks, that "the getter in claim 1 is clearly not provided within the adhesive region". However, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the getter is not provided within the adhesive region) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Thus, applicant's claims 1-3,5,7,9-12,15,17-19,21 do not distinguish over Brown et al. reference.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Application/Control Number: 10/648,763

Art Unit: 2826

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner

should be directed to Tan Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1923. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9306 for after final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TT

May 2005

Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 2826